

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
United States Patent and Trademark Office
Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS
P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450
www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO. FILING DATE FIRST NAMED INVENTOR ATTORNEY DOCKET NO. CONFIRMATION NO. 09/816,754 03/26/2001 Atsushi Yamaguchi PF-2772 6543 466 7590 12/02/2003 **EXAMINER** YOUNG & THOMPSON FORDE, REMMON R 745 SOUTH 23RD STREET 2ND FLOOR ART UNIT ARLINGTON, VA 22202 PAPER NUMBER 2826

DATE MAILED: 12/02/2003

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

		im
	Application No.	Applicant(s)
Office Action Summan	09/816,754	YAMAGUCHI ET AL.
Office Action Summary	Examin r	Art Unit
The MAN INO DATE of this control of	Remmon R. Fordé	2826
The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address Period for Reply		
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) days will be considered timely. If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). Status		
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 30 C	October 2003 .	
2a)☐ This action is FINAL . 2b)⊠ Thi	s action is non-final.	
3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213. Disposition of Claims		
4) Claim(s) 1,4-11,13-22 and 25-55 is/are pending in the application.		
4a) Of the above claim(s) 14-16,34 and 40-55 is/are withdrawn from consideration.		
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.		
6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1,4-11,13,17-22,25-33 and 35-39</u> is/are rejected.		
7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.		
8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.		
Application Papers		
9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner.		
10)∐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a)□ accepted or b)□ objected to by the Examiner.		
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).		
11)☐ The proposed drawing correction filed on is: a)☐ approved b)☐ disapproved by the Examiner.		
If approved, corrected drawings are required in reply to this Office action.		
12) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner.		
Priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120		
13) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).		
a)⊠ All b)□ Some * c)□ None of:		
 Certified copies of the priority documents 	have been received.	
2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No		
 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. 		
14) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(e) (to a provisional application).		
a) ☐ The translation of the foreign language provisional application has been received. 15)☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 120 and/or 121.		
Attachment(s)		
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) Paper No(s)		(PTO-413) Paper No(s) atent Application (PTO-152)

Application/Control Number: 09/816,754

Art Unit: 2826

DETAILED ACTION

Response to Arguments

Applicant's arguments filed 10/30/2003 have been fully considered but they are not persuasive. Simply claiming Foreign Priority to a foreign patent doesn't automatically incorporate that foreign patent. The Applicant has to show or actually state that the foreign reference is incorporated by reference. Currently, the Applicant has failed to satisfy the abovementioned requirements. Furthermore, even if the Applicant had officially incorporated the Foreign Priority patent by reference, the reference still recites both types of units (i.e. m^{-3} and cm^{-3}).

Therefore, the Applicant is not allowed to bring in essential or non-essential material from the particular Foreign Priority reference of this case.

The incorporation of essential material in the specification by reference to a foreign application or patent, or to a publication is improper. Applicant is required to amend the disclosure to include the material incorporated by reference. The amendment must be accompanied by an affidavit or declaration executed by the applicant, or a practitioner representing the applicant, stating that the amendatory material consists of the same material incorporated by reference in the referencing application. See *In re Hawkins*, 486 F.2d 569, 179 USPQ 157 (CCPA 1973); *In re Hawkins*, 486 F.2d 579, 179 USPQ 163 (CCPA 1973); and *In re Hawkins*, 486 F.2d 577, 179 USPQ 167 (CCPA 1973).

Response to Amendment

The amendment filed 11/4/2002 is objected to under 35 U.S.C. 132 because it introduces new matter into the disclosure. 35 U.S.C. 132 states that no amendment shall introduce new matter into the disclosure of the invention. The added material which is not supported by the original disclosure is as follows:

The changes of units in the specification and claims from m⁻³ to cm⁻³ are not supported by the original disclosure. Applicant is required to cancel the new matter in the reply to this Office Action.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

The following is a quotation of the first paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:

The specification shall contain a written description of the invention, and of the manner and process of making and using it, in such full, clear, concise, and exact terms as to enable any person skilled in the art to which it pertains, or with which it is most nearly connected, to make and use the same and shall set forth the best mode contemplated by the inventor of carrying out his invention.

Claims 1, 4-11, 13, 17-22, 25-33 and 35-39 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, first paragraph, as containing subject matter which was not described in the specification in such a way as to reasonably convey to one skilled in the relevant art that the inventor(s), at the time the application was filed, had possession of the claimed invention. The invention as now claimed having concentration in cm⁻³ is not supported by the original disclosure. Therefore, there is no evidence that the Applicant possessed the invention as now claimed at the time of filing.

Application/Control Number: 09/816,754

Art Unit: 2826

Contact Information

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the

examiner should be directed to Remmon R. Fordé whose telephone number is (703)

305-4533. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday-Friday (8:00-4:30).

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's

supervisor, Nathan J. Flynn can be reached on (703) 308-6601. The fax phone number

for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is (703) 872-9306.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or

proceeding should be directed to the receptionist whose telephone number is (703) 308-

0956.

Remmon R. Fordé

Page 4